



## University Council Student Engagement and Success

**Minutes:** January 20, 2016

**Attendees:** Byrne, Michelle; Gannon, Debbie; Gruich, Dorothy; Levy, Paul; Moore, Stacey; Novachek, Michele; Spayd, Michael

**Absent with Notice:**  
Kline, John; Pleuss, Carol

**Absent:** Angeletti, Ricky; Boveington, Cory; Cookson, Katherine; Kemp, Sukanya; Krovi, Ravi; Pinheiro, Amanda; Thorpe, Lauri.

Meeting called to order at 9:05 am  
December SES Committee minutes approved

### **I. Updates on University Council Membership**

- a. The Dean appointee has a conflict with our meeting times.
- b. Due to the fact that the GA representatives did not attend meetings all semester, Kim Haverkamp has requested that GSG re-assign members to this committee. We have gotten no response yet.
- c. Stacey is leaving; regarding her replacement it could be John Messina. Lauri is our Vice President representative. Later in the meeting Stacey indicated it will probably be Ron Bowman, Registrar, who will attend meetings after her departure.
- d. In April, Michael Spayd will no longer be on the SES committee; he will be the new President of CPAC. We will need to elect a new Chair for SES, Paul Levy is eligible to be elected.
- e. In February, subcommittees should give UC their goals.

### **II. Academic Support Services Sub Committee**

- a. SES subcommittee met January 13<sup>th</sup>. We discussed our goal, *Investigating and Understand Support Services across campus*. We are focusing first on academic student services. We plan to send a survey to key people in the departments. We are focusing on the overlap of services within departments.

### **III. Multi-term Scheduling**

- a. Questions and concerns:
  - i. A challenge – not enough resources in the Registrar’s office
  - ii. DARS is a challenge
  - iii. It would be A LOT of work once a year
  - iv. Budget would be an obstacle
  - v. Some departments already know they need more sections of classes.

- vi.** GAs would play an important role in teaching extra sections. We need to know how many GAs and if they will be renewed year-to-year to plan classes.
  - vii.** Classroom limits
  - viii.** Criteria, who would be eligible to do this? Juniors/Seniors might be a good group to focus on.
  - ix.** We are looking at best practices. Currently CSU has implemented this plan. They have seen an increase in enrollment, spring 3% and fall 2%.
  - x.** Scheduling faculty
  - xi.** How would this impact “waiting lists” for classes?
- b. Michael will draft up our questions and concerns for UC, narrow down the issues to DARS, Registrar staffing, and Budget concerns.

Meeting adjourned 9:55 am